Exhibit F

```
Page 1
 1
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
              FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 3
                           ---000---
 4
 5
     NIKE, INC.,
                                )
                                )
 6
               Plaintiff,
                                   No. 1:22-cv-00983-VEC
 7
     vs.
 8
     STOCKX LLC,
 9
               Defendant.
10
11
12
                HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
13
                    OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
14
                VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF JOHN LOPEZ
15
                     SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
16
                    THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 23, 2023
17
18
19
20
21
     STENOGRAPHICALLY REPORTED BY:
22
     ANDREA M. IGNACIO, CSR, RPR, CRR, CCRR, CLR ~
23
     CSR LICENSE NO. 9830
     JOB NO. 5688745
24
25
```

	Page 289
1	MR. MILLER: Q. Did you speak to Mr. Amidon
2	about the products that Mr. Kim was going to return to
3	StockX?
4	A No, I did not.
5	Q Did you receive the products that Mr. Kim
6	returned to StockX?
7	A Once they were returned, yes, I did review
8	them.
9	Q So you received them?
10	A The Tempe authentication center did receive
11	them.
12	Q Okay. And you reviewed them personally?
13	A Once they were received, yes.
14	Q Did anyone else at the company review them
15	once they were received?
16	A Yes.
17	MR. FORD: Yeah, just a yes-or-no answer.
18	And just pause with these just so that I
19	can
20	MR. MILLER: Q. Who else at the company
21	reviewed them once they were received?
22	A From my understanding, it was Abe, our
23	operations manager in Tempe, and Alfredo Soto, our
24	team lead in Tempe, Arizona.
25	Q And what did Abe, the operations manager,

Page 290 1 review Mr. Kim's returned products for? 2 So I'm going to direct the witness MR. FORD: 3 not to answer that, because the investigation that was conducted of these products was done after we received 4 5 a letter from Nike about them, and it was conducted at the direction of counsel. 6 7 MR. MILLER: Q. What did Mr. Soto review 8 Mr. Kim's products for? 9 MR. FORD: I'm going to give the same 10 direction to the witness not to answer. 11 MR. MILLER: Q. Did you authenticate 12 products that Mr. Kim returned once they were 13 received? 14 MR. FORD: You can give a yes-or-no answer to 15 the question. 16 THE WITNESS: Yes, I did. 17 MR. MILLER: Q. And what was your findings? 18 MR. FORD: And I'm going to direct the 19 witness not to answer, because the investigation that was conducted into these documents was done at the 20 21 direction of counsel. 22 Sorry. By "documents," I mean products. 23 Force of habit. 24 MR. MILLER: Just to be clear that I 25 understand your position, Counsel, is that the

Page 291

authentication that was done by Mr. Lopez once these products were received is covered by privilege?

MR. FORD: My position is that the answers to questions about the work that was -- the substantive work that was done within the company regarding these products, which were the subject of a letter we received from -- I believe it was Tamar, prior to receiving any of them back, was done at the direction of counsel and is work product.

MR. MILLER: I'm not sure if I agree with that. And that might be an issue that we'll have to take up outside of this deposition.

But I'm going to ask a few more questions of the witness.

Q Mr. Lopez -- Mr. Lopez, did you reach a conclusion when you authenticated the shoes that Mr. Kim returned to StockX?

MR. FORD: I'm again going to direct the witness not to answer anything about the investigation that he and others within the company conducted at the direction of counsel.

MR. MILLER: That's a yes-or-no question about whether he did reach a conclusion. I'm not asking for the substance of it.

Q Can you answer that question, sir?

	Page 292
1	MR. FORD: I'll if you can answer that
2	question yes, no, or I don't know, did you reach a
3	conclusion, I'll you can answer that.
4	THE WITNESS: Yes.
5	MR. MILLER: Q. And did you communicate that
6	conclusion to someone?
7	That's a yes or a no as well.
8	MR. FORD: Yeah. Again, yes, no, I don't
9	know, I don't remember, whatever.
10	THE WITNESS: Yes.
11	MR. FORD: Nothing more than that.
12	MR. MILLER: Q. And to whom did you
13	communicate your conclusions to?
L 4	MR. FORD: Just the name, or multiple names
15	if there were multiple people.
16	THE WITNESS: Abe and Alfredo.
17	MR. MILLER: Q. You didn't communicate the
18	conclusions of your authentication of Mr. Kim's
19	products to counsel?
20	A No.
21	MR. MILLER: I would like to go off the
22	record for a moment, please.
23	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record at
24	6:38 p.m.
25	(Recess taken.)

Page 293

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 6:47 p.m.

MR. MILLER: Q. Mr. Lopez, were the results of your re-authentication of Mr. Kim's returned shoes different than the results of StockX's original authentication of those same shoes?

MR. FORD: I'm again going to direct the witness not to answer the substance of information or the substance of the results of his investigation that was conducted at the direction of counsel.

MR. MILLER: Okay. Counsel, we strongly disagree that the substance of the results of Mr. Lopez's re-authentication of those shoes are covered by any privilege, work product or otherwise. They're simply a fact.

And if you're going to stand on that objection, we will hold this deposition open and reserve the right to recall Mr. Lopez after we take this issue to the court for resolution.

MR. FORD: So I disagree with your characterization of this as a fact. As I think he's told you throughout, it's a subjective process. And he had opinions that were conveyed that are work product.

We got a letter from you, identi- -- from you

Page 294

or Tamar, identifying the substance of these products as covered by your claims and something that you were asking us to preserve and all of that.

The investigation that was conducted at the company was privileged. It was done at the direction of in-house and outside counsel. And the substance of that investigation, even if it involved individual nonlegal employees, was privileged as well.

So I'm going to stand on the direction. And you can continue asking questions if you want to.

MR. MILLER: Okay. I disagree. As I said, we are going to reserve the right to recall Mr. Lopez and get those questions answered. They are absolutely not privileged. The products are evidence in this case. They were the subject of an investigation done by Nike. And the facts related to Mr. Lopez's re-authentication of them are certainly not privileged.

MR. FORD: If you want to ask him about those facts, you are welcome to. You have the time remaining --

MR. MILLER: I --

MR. FORD: -- in your deposition.

MR. MILLER: I just did, and you objected.

MR. FORD: You did not. What was the facts

	Page 295
1	that you were asking for?
2	MR. MILLER: I'm asking
3	MR. FORD: Substance
4	MR. MILLER: about the results
5	MR. FORD: of the results
6	STENOGRAPHIC REPORTER: Okay. One at a time.
7	MR. FORD: I'm sorry.
8	You were asking him about the substance of
9	the results of the process that he conducted.
10	That's that's his opinion as it was communicated,
11	and that's not a fact.
12	MR. MILLER: His
13	MR. FORD: If you want to ask him about
L 4	facts, you have you have the time.
15	MR. MILLER: Q. Mr. Lopez, what was the
16	conclusion of your re-authentication of Mr. Kim's
17	shoes that he returned to StockX?
18	MR. FORD: Again, I'm going to direct the
19	witness not to answer what his conclusions were that
20	were the result of a privileged investigation.
21	MR. MILLER: Q. Mr. Lo
22	I disagree, but I'm not going to go over it
23	again.
24	Q Mr. Lopez, did you agree with the original
25	authentication determination that was done on

Page 296 1 Mr. Kim's shoes? 2 Again, I'm going to direct the 3 witness not to answer about what his conclusions were, 4 what his beliefs were as -- during the course of a 5 privileged investigation that was done at the direction of counsel. 6 7 MR. MILLER: Okay. Well, clearly, you are 8 obstructing on answering facts. His opinion, as 9 you're calling it, about whether the shoes are 10 authentic or not is a fact. And so we'll just have to 11 take this up with the court. 12 And as long as that's the case, I'm done with 13 my questions, subject to any redirect. 14 MR. FORD: Okay. 15 16 **EXAMINATION** 17 BY MR. FORD: 18 Mr. Lopez, you have a stack of documents in Q 19 front of you. I think you've been putting them upside 20 Do you want to turn that over for me -down. 21 Α Sure. 22 Q -- just because it will be quicker. And you 23 can find Exhibit 2. 24 So much earlier today, Mr. Miller asked you a 25 couple of questions about this document.